

**INFORMATION SHEET**

**DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** OMAHA DIST DRO

**FILE NUMBER:** 200180751

**REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:** MCKEE

**PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:** In the office **Date:**  
At the project site **Date:** Aug 20, 2004

**PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:**

State: Colorado

**County:** Jefferson

Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal **coordinates:** 39 51 50.4163 105 10 37.9450

Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in **acres:** 380

Name of waterway or **watershed:** Big Dry Creek

**SITE CONDITIONS:** rural dry upland surrounds the aquatic sites

Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
x Wetlands	x								
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
<u>Irrigation ditch</u>									

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			x		
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?			x		
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					x
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?					

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

**TYPE OF DETERMINATION:** **Approved** x Preliminary

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD** (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

The above-mentioned wetlands and their drainages were determined to be non-jurisdictional based on that fact that upland inclusions are located between the wetlands and downstream jurisdictional tributary. There is no evidence that flows from the wetlands traverse these upland inclusions on a 1 to 2 year frequency. Using the USGS Water Resources Investigation Report 99-4190, Analysis of the Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in Colorado, to predict such flows is not possible, since the drainage basin is less than 5 square miles. Since there are upland inclusions within the drainages between the wetlands in question and the tributary to which they flow, these wetlands are neither adjacent to nor surface connected to an interstate waters. Therefore, these wetlands are isolated and non-jurisdictional.