

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): *2/5/13*

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: *NWO-2012-2743-PIE, South Dakota Regulatory Office, Regulator: Matt Sailor, Applicant: Iron Horse Industrial Park, Kimball, SD*

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: *Construction of an Industrial Park in Brule County near Kimball, South Dakota.*

State: *South Dakota*

County/parish/borough: *Brule* City: *Kimball*

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. *43.741514* N; Long. *-98.85911* °W

Universal Transverse Mercator: *14*

Name of nearest waterbody: *Platte Creek, ~5.9 mi East*

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: *Missouri River*

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): *10140101*

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. **An Additional JD Form has been completed for 7 non-jurisdictional wetlands associated with this project.**

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: *1/22/12*

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain: .

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):¹

TNWs, including territorial seas

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: *3200* linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: *6.15* acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: **1987 Delineation Manual**

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain: *See Approved JD Form for 7 isolated wetlands dated 1/22/12, NWO-2012-2743-PIE .*

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: See Approved JD Form for 7 isolated wetlands dated 1/22/12, NWO-2012-2743-PIE .

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 4430 square miles
Drainage area: 0.42 square miles
Average annual rainfall: 23.36 inches
Average annual snowfall: 37.6 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

- Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
Project waters are 20-25 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Two ephemeral non-RPW streams flow north to south across the project site until they meet an interstate where they flow under and to the south west and into an unnamed intermittent RPW. This stream flows directly into Platte Lake, whose outfall flows into Platte Creek a perennial RPW. Platte Creek flows into the Missouri River - Lake Francis Case (TNW).

Tributary stream order, if known: .

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

Tributary is: Natural
 Artificial (man-made). Explain: .
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Channelized, redirected, etc..

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width: 25 feet
Average depth: 3 feet
Average side slopes: 2:1.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

Silts Sands Concrete
 Cobbles Gravel Muck
 Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover:
 Other. Explain: .

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: **Typical meandering prairie streams in an agricultural landscape, high silt content, eroding stream banks, farming up to banks, etc. During dry years it is likely the stream can be planted with crops .**

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: .

Tributary geometry: **Meandering**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): <1 %

(c) **Flow:**

Tributary provides for: **Seasonal flow**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **6-10**

Describe flow regime: **Intermittent.**

Other information on duration and volume: .

Surface flow is: **Confined.** Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Unknown.** Explain findings: .

Dye (or other) test performed: .

Tributary has (check all that apply):

Bed and banks
 OHWM⁶ (check all indicators that apply):
 clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris
 changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 shelving the presence of wrack line
 vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting
 leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour
 sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events
 water staining abrupt change in plant community
 other (list):
 Discontinuous OHWM.⁷ Explain: .

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
 oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum;
 fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings;
 physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
 tidal gauges
 other (list):

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: **high seasonal turbidity, high in sediments due to intensive farming throughout the watershed.**

Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): **Grassy buffer strips, Emergent Wetland Vegetation.**
 Wetland fringe. Characteristics: **Emergent Wetland Vegetation.**
 Habitat for:
 Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

- Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: **Not likely in this particular stream segment.**
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: **Waterbirds and some species of passerines.**
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: **Herps, Migratory birds, some mammals.**

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: **6.15** acres

Wetland type. Explain: **PEMA, directly abutting non-RPW Stream.**

Wetland quality. Explain: **Some of the wetlands are partially drained and farmed.**

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: **/a.**

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Ephemeral flow**. Explain: **Flow usually only coincides with wet cycles and overflows by means of a natural drainage/blue line inter-connecting the areas with nearby Platte Creek.**

Surface flow is: **Discrete and confined**

Characteristics: **Flow usually only coincides with wet cycles and overflows by means of a natural drainage/blue line inter-connecting the areas with nearby Skunk Creek.**

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:

Ecological connection. Explain:

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **30 (or more)** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **20-25** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Wetland to navigable waters.**

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain. **Unknown**

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: **Water quality is good, one PEMA was covered with an algal mat.**

Identify specific pollutants, if known: **Given the agricultural landscape it is likely the stream is high in pesticides, fungicides, etc., and has high nutrient loading. Knowing this, it is likely the 3 affected jurisdictional wetlands play a significant role of filtration and retention of the waters draining into this area from neighboring agricultural ground.**

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: **OBL species, rushes and cattails.**

Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: **Migratory Birds.**

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: **Herps, Migratory birds, aquatic mammals.**

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **3**

Approximately (**6.15**) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>
Wetland B	1.11	Y	
Wetland D	2.88	Y	
Wetland F	2.16	Y	

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: [The wetlands within the project area are allowing for the exchange of mass, momentum, energy and organisms between these systems and Platte Creek and further downstream, i.e. Lake Francis Case /Missouri River. By means of hydrologic connectivity, these wetlands are part of the tributary system, both hydrologically and ecologically.](#)

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:[A significant Hydrologic connection exists between the affected wetlands to the Platte Creek \(RPW\). Two ephemeral streams with abutting wetlands flow north to south across the project site until they meets the interstate where they flow under and to the south west and into an unnamed intermittent RPW. This stream flows directly into Platte Lake, whose outfall flows into Platte Creek a perennial RPW. Platte Creek flows into the Missouri River - Lake Francis Case \(TNW\). This is indicated on aerial photos dated 12/31/2009 and USGS Topos. Platte Creek \(RPW\) meanders through the extensive agricultural landscape of eastcentral SD and then into Lake Francis Case/Missouri River \(TNW\).](#)
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
 Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Non-RPW 1

- Tributary waters: **3100** linear feet **6-8** width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

Non-RPW 2

- Tributary waters: **100** linear feet **6-8** width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Non-RPW 1: 3.27 acres.

Non-RPW 2: 2.88 acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: [Maps, Figures, Data Sheets submitted by applicant](#) .
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. [10140101, Fort Randall Reservoir. South Dakota.](#)
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: [1:24K, SD-PLATTE LAKE.](#)
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: .
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: [ORM2, MISSOURI-WHITE.](#)
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
- FEMA/FIRM maps: .
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): [Google Earth, 12/31/2009, 8/20/2006.](#)
 - or Other (Name & Date): [Sayre and Associates 12/20/12.](#)
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: [2011-0408.](#)
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: [See Approved JD Form for isolated wetlands dated 1/22/12.](#)

This JD is for [two linear wetland systems that are comprised of a non-RPW ephemeral channel with abutting wetlands. The flow and watershed characteristics are presumed identical for both ephemeral non-RPW tributaries and thus only one JD was prepared \(See Map 1 for a diagram\).](#)



WETLAND DELINEATION MAP SHOWING JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS


IRON HORSE INDUSTRIAL PARK
MHC LAND AND CATTLE, LTD
WETLAND DELINEATION

PROJECT NO.:	18666
SURVEYED BY:	RMP
CREATED BY:	RMP
APPROVED BY:	RMP
REVISION DATE:	
WETLAND EXHIBIT	1



218 S. Duluth Avenue, Sioux Falls, SD 57104
Phone: (605) 332-7211 Fax: (605) 332-7222

Engineering Solutions



Abutting Wetlands D

Abutting Wetlands B, F

Non-RPW 2

Non-RPW 1

45

© 2013 Google

Image USDA Farm Service Agency

Google earth
Earth Point

Broad St

Abutting Wetlands D

Abutting Wetlands B, F

Channel



© 2013 Google
Image USDA Farm Service Agency

Google earth
Earth Point